



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
LLOYD MOORE

Serial No. **10/676,931**

Attorney's Docket No.
0451M-001

Art Unit: **3635**

Filed: **10/01/2003**

Examiner: **G. Spahn**

For: **GARAGE DOORWAY SCREEN**

Response to Office Action

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In her first office action mailed March 22, 2005, the examiner subjected Claims 1 - 20 of the application to a restriction requirement. In reasons therefore, the examiner states that

- (a) Claims 1 - 13 (Group I) are drawn to (a) a ventilating closure system for a doorway and garage in class 160, subclass 87;
- (b) Claims 14 - 17 (Group II) are drawn to a garage door screen in class 160, subclass 354; and
- (c) Claims 18 - 20 are drawn to a method of providing an intruder resistant opening across a doorway, in class 52, subclass 752.2.

The examiner further views

- (1) Groups I and II as being related as a combination and subcombination (MPEP § 806.05(c));
- (2) Groups II and III as related as product and process of use (MPEP § 806.05(h)); and
- (3) Groups III and I as related as process of making and product made (MPEP § 806.05(f)).